

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED SPATES BEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Paren) and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/829,737 04/10/2001		Chad A. Schoettger	SMQ-064 (P5765)	9630	
959	7590 09/12/2005		EXAMINER		
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP.			NGUYEN, THANH T		
28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2001011, 11111 0210			2144	<u>-</u>	
			DATE MAILED 00/12/200	DATE MAIL ED. 00/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/829,737	SCHOETTGER, CHAD A.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tammy T. Nguyen	2144		

	'	1					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tammy T. Nguyen	2144					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	Iress				
THE REPLY FILED 17 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods:</li> </ol>	on the same day as filing a Notice of pwing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in oliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	of Appeal. To avoid al affidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>			aria latar da ma				
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on the mailing date on the Fig. 0.	of the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 ) as set forth in (b)				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any end of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NC		because				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	g the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	-	ejected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omnliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amenamen	t (1 10L-024).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	allowable if submitted in a separate	timely filed amendr	nent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s). Regular for reconsiderations.  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) 🛛 vovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NONE</u> .	•						
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See attachment.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
• •	12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:		RC D. THOMP	_				
		RCTHOMPS IMARY EXAMI					
	7 K I	ITAKI EXATT	. II L IV				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Applicants argue that Chung does not teach determining a trust proxy setting. In response to Applicant's argument, the Patent Office maintain the rejection because Chung determining a trust proxy setting as shown in Fig.3, Proxy setting 138 in browser 106, and Icol.11, lines 11-30. Chung clearly shows a trust proxy setting.

Applicants argue that Chung does not teach a web page with a first and second embedded software facility. In response to Applicant's argument, the Patent Office maintain the rejection because Chung teaches a web page with a first and second embedded software facility as shown in col.3, line 60 to col.4, line 5. Clearly Chung shows a web page.

MARC D. THOMPSON

PRIMARY EXAMINER